

LEVEL SET METHOD FOR TOPOLOGY OPTIMIZATION

DR. MUSADDIQ AL ALI

alali@toyota-ti.ac.jp

Abstract

Topology optimization is a powerful tool used to optimize the design of structures to achieve maximum performance under specific constraints. One of the popular methods for topology optimization is the level set method. In this method, a level set function is used to represent the boundary of the design domain. The level set method has many advantages over other methods, including the ability to handle complex geometries, deal with multiple constraints, and generate smooth designs. This paper discusses the basics of topology optimization using the level set method, its advantages, limitations, and recent advancements.

Introduction:

Topology optimization is a design optimization technique that aims to determine the optimal material distribution within a given design domain. It involves the determination of the optimal material layout that maximizes the performance of the structure while satisfying certain design constraints. The level set method is a popular approach used in topology optimization. This method uses a level set function to represent the boundary of the design domain, and it has many advantages over other methods.

Advantages of the Level Set Method

One of the main advantages of the level set method is its ability to handle complex geometries. The level set function can be used to represent any shape, and it can easily handle irregular and complex geometries. Another advantage is the ability to handle multiple constraints. The level set method allows for the incorporation of multiple constraints, including stress, displacement, and frequency constraints. The method can also generate smooth designs, which is beneficial in manufacturing processes.

Limitations of the Level Set Method

Although the level set method has many advantages, it also has limitations. One of the main limitations is the high computational cost. The method involves solving partial differential equations, which can be time-consuming and computationally expensive. Another limitation is the difficulty in determining the appropriate level set function. The choice of the level set function

can have a significant impact on the final design, and determining the appropriate function can be challenging.

Recent Advancements:

Recent advancements in the level set method have focused on reducing the computational cost and improving the accuracy of the method. One of the recent advancements is the use of surrogate models. Surrogate models are models that can approximate the behavior of the system without the need for a full-scale simulation. Another recent advancement is the use of machine learning techniques to determine the appropriate level set function. Machine learning techniques can learn from previous designs and determine the appropriate level set function for a given problem.

Conclusion

The level set method is a powerful tool for topology optimization. It has many advantages over other methods, including the ability to handle complex geometries, multiple constraints, and generate smooth designs. However, it also has limitations, including the high computational cost and difficulty in determining the appropriate level set function. Recent advancements have focused on reducing the computational cost and improving the accuracy of the method, including the use of surrogate models and machine learning techniques. The level set method will continue to be an important tool for topology optimization in the future.

References

1. Musaddiq Al Ali Toward Fully Autonomous Structure Design Based on Topology Optimization and Image Processing. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 6th IIAE International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Image Processing 2018; 2018; pp. 1–7.
2. Fujioka, M.; Shimoda, M.; Al Ali, M. Shape Optimization of Periodic-Microstructures for Stiffness Maximization of a Macrostructure. *Compos. Struct.* **2021**, *268*, 113873, doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2021.113873.
3. Al Ali, M. Toward Fully Autonomous Structure Design Based on Topology Optimization and Image Processing. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 6th IIAE International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Image Processing; The Institute of Industrial Applications Engineers, 2018.
4. Musaddiq Al Ali, M.S. Toward Concurrent Multiscale Topology Optimization for High Heat Conductive and Light Weight Structure. In Proceedings of the 15th World Congress on Computational Mechanics (WCCM-XV) and 8th Asian Pacific Congress on Computational Mechanics (APCOM-VIII); 2022; pp. 1–12.
5. Abass, R.S.; Ali, M. Al; Ali, M. Al Shape And Topology Optimization Design For Total Hip Joint Implant. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering; 2019; Vol. 0958.
6. Al Ali, M. Design Offshore Spherical Tank Support Using Shape Optimization. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 6th IIAE International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Image Processing; 2018.

7. Al Ali, M.; Sahib, A.Y.; Al Ali, M. Design Light Weight Emergency Cot With Enhanced Spinal Immobilization Capability. In Proceedings of the 6th Asian/Australian Rotorcraft Forum & Heli Japan; 2017; pp. 1–11.
8. 藤岡みなみ; 下田昌利; Musaddiq Al, A.L.I. 所望変形を実現するマルチスケール構造の同時形状最適化. 計算力学講演会講演論文集 **2021**, *2021.34*, 3, doi:10.1299/jsmecmd.2021.34.003.
9. Al Ali, M.; Sahib, A.Y.; Al Ali, M. Teeth Implant Design Using Weighted Sum Multi-Objective Function for Topology Optimization and Real Coding Genetic Algorithm. In Proceedings of the The 6th IIAE International Conference on Industrial Application Engineering 2018; The Institute of Industrial Applications Engineers, Japan, 2018; pp. 182–188.
10. Ali, M. Al; Takezawa, A.; Kitamura, M. Comparative Study of Stress Minimization Using Topology Optimization and Morphing Based Shape Optimization Comparative Study of Stress Minimization Using Topology Optimization and Morphing Based Shape Optimization. In Proceedings of the The Asian Congress of Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization; 2018.
11. Ali, M. Al; Ali, M. Al; Sahib, A.Y.; Abbas, R.S. Design Micro-Piezoelectric Actuated Gripper for Medical Applications. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of The 6th IIAE International Conference on Industrial Application Engineering 2018; The Institute of Industrial Application Engineers, 2018; pp. 175–180.
12. Al Ali, M.; Shimoda, M.; Benaissa, B.; Kobayashi, M. Concurrent Multiscale Hybrid Topology Optimization for Light Weight Porous Soft Robotic Hand with High Cellular Stiffness. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the International Conference of Steel and Composite for Engineering Structures: ICSCES 2022; 2023; pp. 265–278.
13. Al-Ali, M.A.; Al-Ali, M.A.; Takezawa, A.; Kitamura, M. Topology Optimization and Fatigue Analysis of Temporomandibular Joint Prosthesis. *World J. Mech.* **2017**, *7*, 323–339.
14. Shimoda, P.M.; Ali, M. Al; Secondary, C.A.; Author, C.; Ali, M. Al; Shimoda, M.; Ali, M. Al Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization Concurrent Multiscale Multiphysics Topology Optimization for Porous Composite Structures under Hygral Loading.
15. Fujioka, M.; Shimoda, M.; Al Ali, M. Concurrent Shape Optimization of a Multiscale Structure for Controlling Macrostructural Stiffness. *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.* **2022**, *65*, 1–27, doi:10.1007/s00158-022-03304-y.
16. Ali, M. Al; Takezawa, A.; Kitamura, M. Comparative Study of Stress Minimization Using Topology Optimization and Morphing Based Shape Optimization Comparative Study of Stress Minimization Using Topology Optimization and Morphing Based Shape Optimization. **2019**.
17. Amoura, N.; Benaissa, B.; Al Ali, M.; Khatir, S. Deep Neural Network and YUKI Algorithm for Inner Damage Characterization Based on Elastic Boundary Displacement. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the International Conference of Steel and Composite for Engineering Structures: ICSCES 2022; 2023; pp. 220–233.
18. Al Ali, M.; Al Ali, M.; Saleh, R.S.; Sahib, A.Y. Fatigue Life Extending For Temporomandibular Plate Using Non Parametric Cascade Optimization. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2019; 2019; pp. 547–553.

19. Fujioka, M.; Shimoda, M.; Ali, M. Al Concurrent Shape Optimization for Multiscale Structure with Desired Static Deformation. *Proc. Comput. Mech. Conf.* **2021**, *2021.34*, 3, doi:10.1299/jsmecmd.2021.34.003 (in Japanese).
20. Shimoda, M.; Umemura, M.; Al Ali, M.; Tsukihara, R. Shape and Topology Optimization Method for Fiber Placement Design of CFRP Plate and Shell Structures. *Compos. Struct.* **2023**, *309*, 116729, doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2023.116729.
21. Musaddiq Al Ali, M.S. Concurrent Multiscale Topology Optimization for Designing Displacement Inverter. In Proceedings of the 15th World Congress on Computational Mechanics (WCCM-XV) and 8th Asian Pacific Congress on Computational Mechanics (APCOM-VIII); 2022; pp. 1–10.
22. Al Ali, M.; Shimoda, M. Toward Multiphysics Multiscale Concurrent Topology Optimization for Lightweight Structures with High Heat Conductivity and High Stiffness Using MATLAB. *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.* **2022**, *65*, 1–26, doi:10.1007/s00158-022-03291-0.
23. Al Ali, M.; Shimoda, M. Toward Concurrent Multiscale Topology Optimization for High Heat Conductive and Light Weight Structure. In Proceedings of the 15th World Congress on Computational Mechanics (WCCM-XV) and 8th Asian Pacific Congress on Computational Mechanics (APCOM-VIII); S. Koshizuka, Ed.; CIMNE, 2022; p. 12.
24. Torisaki, M.; Shimoda, M.; Al Ali, M. Shape Optimization Method for Strength Design Problem of Microstructures in a Multiscale Structure. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng.* **2023**, *124*, 1748–1772, doi:10.1002/nme.7186.
25. Abass, R.S.; Ali, M. Al; Ali, M. Al Shape And Topology Optimization Design For Total Hip Joint Implant. In Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2019; 2019; Vol. 0958.
26. Al Ali, M.; Shimoda, M. Investigation of Concurrent Multiscale Topology Optimization for Designing Lightweight Macrostructure with High Thermal Conductivity. *Int. J. Therm. Sci.* **2022**, *179*, 107653, doi:10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2022.107653.
27. Kato, T.; Fujioka, M.; Shimoda, M. Multi-Scale Shape Optimization Method for Designing a Macrostructure with Periodic-Microstructures Using Inverse Homogenization Method and H1 Gradient Method. *Trans. Japan Soc. Mech. Eng.* **2019**, 85.
28. Al Ali, M.; Al Ali, M.; Sahib, A.Y.; Abbas, R.S. Design Micro Piezoelectric Actuated Gripper for Medical Applications. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 6th IIAE International Conference on Industrial Application Engineering, Japan; 2018; pp. 175–180.
29. Shirazi, M.I.; Khatir, S.; Benaissa, B.; Mirjalili, S.; Wahab, M.A. Damage Assessment in Laminated Composite Plates Using Modal Strain Energy and YUKI-ANN Algorithm. *Compos. Struct.* **2023**, *303*, 116272.
30. Benaissa, B.; Hocine, N.A.; Khatir, S.; Riahi, M.K.; Mirjalili, S. YUKI Algorithm and POD-RBF for Elastostatic and Dynamic Crack Identification. *J. Comput. Sci.* **2021**, *55*, 101451, doi:10.1016/j.jocs.2021.101451.
31. Irfan Shirazi, M.; Khatir, S.; Benaissa, B.; Mirjalili, S.; Abdel Wahab, M. Damage Assessment in Laminated Composite Plates Using Modal Strain Energy and YUKI-ANN Algorithm. *Compos. Struct.* **2022**, 116272,

doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2022.116272>.